

Some Solved Problems for Week Two

1.4#1 We take as true the following propositions:

If Dracula seizes power, then democracy is lost.

If democracy is lost, then the use of food additives increases.

If the use of food additives increases, then mutations can be expected.

Dracula seizes power.

Represent each proposition by a sentential form, then show that repeated application of modus ponens proves the truth of “mutations can be expected.”

Solution: First we need a “dictionary” of variables and assignments:

D is “Dracula seizes power.”

L is “democracy is lost.”

U is “the use of food additives increases.”

M is “mutations can be expected.”

A formal deduction of “mutations can be expected” from the given premises might look like this:

- | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | $D \Rightarrow L$ | Premise |
| 2 | $L \Rightarrow U$ | Premise |
| 3 | $U \Rightarrow M$ | Premise |
| 4 | D | Premise |
| 5 | L | Modus Ponens, lines 1, 4. |
| 6 | U | Modus Ponens, lines 2, 5. |
| 7 | M | Modus Ponens, lines 3, 6. |

1.4#2 Use ordinary English to write sentences representing the converse, the contrapositive, and the negation of “If all cats meow, then some dogs bark.”

Solution: This might not be obvious until we cover quantification. Nevertheless, here are the solutions. The converse: “If some dogs bark, then all cats meow.” The contrapositive: “If no dogs bark, then some cats don’t meow.” The negation: “All cats meow, but no dogs bark.”

1.4#4 Prove that the sum of two odd numbers or two even numbers is even, and that the sum of an even number and an odd number is odd.

Solution: I’ll do the last, leaving the others to you. Let m and n be integers, with m even and n odd. By definition, there are integers j and k such that $m = 2j$ and $n = 2k + 1$. It follows that $m + n = 2j + (2k + 1) = 2(j + k) + 1$, an odd number. \square

1.5#3 Write sentential forms logically equivalent to $P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$ and $P \vee Q$ in which the symbol “ \Rightarrow ” does not occur.

Solution: Using the definition of $P \Rightarrow Q$ as an abbreviation for $\neg(P \wedge \neg Q)$ a couple of times, using double negation once, and using associativity, we get

$$P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R) \equiv \neg(P \wedge Q \wedge \neg R).$$

Note that this allows us to do our business using only negation and conjunction.

1.5#5 We write $P \downarrow Q$ as an abbreviation for $\neg(P \vee Q)$ (the down arrow is called the *dagger*). Represent each of the sentential forms $\neg P$, $P \vee Q$, $P \wedge Q$, and $P \Rightarrow Q$ by forms using only the dagger.

Solution: The process was discussed in class; here are the results.

- (a) $\neg P \equiv P \downarrow P$
- (b) $P \vee Q \equiv (P \downarrow Q) \downarrow (P \downarrow Q)$
- (c) $P \wedge Q \equiv (P \downarrow P) \downarrow (Q \downarrow Q)$
- (d) $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv ((P \downarrow P) \downarrow Q) \downarrow ((P \downarrow P) \downarrow Q)$

- 2.1#2 (a) $A = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\} = \{2n | n \in \mathbf{Z}_{nn}\}.$
 (c) $C = \{1, 5, 9, 13, \dots\} = \{4n - 3 | n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$
 (e) $E = \{lemon, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\} = \{x | x = lemon \text{ or } x \in \mathbf{N}\}.$

2.1#3 Describe each by listing all elements.

- (a) $\{x \in \mathbf{N} | x \text{ is prime and } x^2 < 30\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$
- (d) $\{x \in \mathbf{Z} | \text{There is an element } y \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } x^2 + y^2 \leq 25\} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4\}$

1. Label as true or false:

- (b) $\{1, 2, 3\} = \{2, 1, 3, 3, 2\}$ is true, since order doesn't count and multiples are meaningless.
- (d) $\{5\} \in \{2, 5\}$ is false, since the set on the right-hand side contains no sets as elements.
- (e) $\emptyset \in \{1, 2\}$ is false, since we don't see \emptyset in the list of elements on the right-hand side.
- (j) $\emptyset \in \emptyset$ is false, since \emptyset contains no elements.

2.1#7 In each part, give an example of sets A, B, C that simultaneously satisfy the stated conditions. Note that there is nothing unique about these solutions!

- (a) $A \in B, B \in C, A \notin C$. How about $B = \{A\}$ and $C = \{B\} = \{\{A\}\}.$
- (b) $A \in B, B \in C, A \in C$. Try $B = \{A\}$ and $C = \{A, B\} = \{A, \{A\}\}.$